Light hadron spectrum in Nf=2+1 QCD

Tomomi Ishikawa (CCS, Univ. of Tsukuba) for CP-PACS/JLQCD collaboration

tomomi@rccp.tsukuba.ac.jp

ILFT workshop "Lattice QCD simulations via International Research Network" Laforet-Shuzenji Hotel, Izu, Japan September 21 - 24, 2004

Introduction

Light hadron spectrum

- Direct test of QCD at low energy scale
- Determination of fundamental parameters quark masses, QCD coupling,

Systematic studies by CP-PACS and JLQCD

quenched QCD (continuum limit)

- RG-improved gauge
 + clover quark (tad.imp. c_{SW}) (CP-PACS, 2001)
- plaquette + Wilson (CP-PACS, 2001)

Systematic deviation from experiment (5-10%)

artifact due to the quenched approx.



2-flavor QCD (continuum limit)

ud : dynamical s : quenched

 RG-improved gauge
 + clover quark (tad.imp. ^CSW (CP-PACS, 2001)

deviation is reduced



Next Step : • 3-flavor full QCD

> ud : dynamical s : dynamical

Contents

Introduction

- Strategy of the project
- Simulation at $\beta = 1.90$
- Analysis at $\beta = 1.90$
- Light meson spectrum at $\beta = 1.90$
- Light quark masses at $\beta = 1.90$
- Scaling study (preliminary results)
- Summary

Strategy of the project

Lattice action

- gauge : RG improved action (Iwasaki, '83)
- **quark** : non-perturbatively O(a) improved Wilson action

 $C_{\rm SW}$ is non-perturbatively determined. (K-I. Ishikawa, '03)

Algorithm

- with degenerate up and down quarks and strange quark We employ HMC algorithm for up and down quarks.
 Polynomial HMC (Forcrand and Takaishi, '97, K-I.Ishikawa et.al., '02)
 - Polynomial approx. of inv. of Dirac matrix $D^{-1} \sim P_{2N_{nolu}}[D] = \overline{T}[D]T[D]$
 - Metropolis test for correction factor $det [P_{2N_{poly}}[D]D]$

exact algorithm

We employ PHMC algorithm for strange quark.

Simulation points and statistics



production : already finished



Earth Simulator @JAMSTEC



SR8000/F1 @KEK



CP-PACS @Tsukuba



SR8000/G1 @Tsukuba



VPP5000 @Tsukuba



Measurement



- measure meson masses every 10 trajectories
- doubly smeared source local sink is used.
- f physical volume $\sim (2.0~{
 m fm})^3$ is not large to calculate baryon

 \implies We focus on the meson sector.

Simulation at $\beta = 1.90$

Simulation parameters

 $\square \beta = 1.90 \ (a \sim 0.1 \text{ fm}), \ L^3 \times T = 20^3 \times 40, \ c_{SW} = 1.715$

Kud	Ks	δau	N_{poly}	traj.	$m_{PS}/m_V(LL)$	$m_{PS}/m_V(SS)$
0.13580	0.13580	1/125	110	5000	0.7674(16)	0.7674(16)
0.13610		1/125		6000	0.7427(18)	0.7640(17)
0.13640		1/140		7500	0.7206(19)	0.7687(14)
0.13680		1/160		8000	0.6710(28)	0.7677(17)
0.13700		1/180		8000	0.6384(22)	0.7687(15)
0.13580		1/125		5200	0.7666(17)	0.7210(22)
0.13610	0.13640	1/125	140	8000	0.7448(15)	0.7187(17)
0.13640		1/140		9000	0.7153(18)	0.7153(18)
0.13680		1/160		9000	0.6642(22)	0.7139(18)
0.13700		1/180		8000	0.6237(28)	0.7100(20)

 $\delta \tau$, N_{poly} \triangleleft $P_{HMC} \simeq 85\%$, $P_{GMP} \simeq 90\%$

Analysis at $\beta = 1.90$

Chiral fit function

- polynomial in quark masses
- Chiral fits are made to light-light (LL), light-strange (LS) and strange-strange (SS) simultaneously.
- ignoring correlations among LL, LS and SS

include up to quadratic terms

$$\begin{split} m_{PS}^{2}(m_{ud}, m_{s}; m_{val,1}, m_{val,2}) \\ &= B_{S}^{PS}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{PS}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{PS}(2m_{ud} + m_{s})(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ C_{S1}^{PS}(2m_{ud}^{2} + m_{s}^{2}) + C_{S2}^{PS}(m_{ud} + 2m_{ud}m_{s}) \\ &+ C_{V1}^{PS}(m_{val,1}^{2} + m_{val,2}^{2}) + C_{V2}^{PS}m_{val,1}m_{val,2} \end{split} \ \ \begin{array}{l} m_{V}(m_{ud}, m_{s}; m_{val,1}, m_{val,2}) \\ &= A^{V} + B_{S}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{SV}^{V}(2m_{ud} + m_{s}) + B_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) + D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) + D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) + D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) + D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) + D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) + D_{V}^{V}(m_{val,1} + m_{val,2}) \\ &+ D_{V}^{V}(m_{val$$

- LL : light-light meson LS : light-strange meson
- SS : strange-strange meson

Inputs

Inputs to fix the quark masses

$$m_{ud} \leftarrow \frac{m_{PS}(m_{ud}, m_{ud})}{m_V(m_{ud}, m_{ud})} = \frac{m_{\pi}}{m_{\rho}}$$
$$m_s(K\text{-input}) \leftarrow \frac{m_{PS}(m_{ud}, m_s)}{m_V(m_{ud}, m_{ud})} = \frac{m_K}{m_{\rho}}$$
$$m_s(\phi\text{-input}) \leftarrow \frac{m_V(m_s, m_s)}{m_V(m_{ud}, m_{ud})} = \frac{m_{\phi}}{m_{\rho}}$$

Input to fix the lattice spacing

$$a \leftarrow m_{\rho}$$

at β=1.90

$$a^{-1} = \begin{cases} 1.97(4) \text{ GeV } K\text{-input} \\ 1.97(4) \text{ GeV } \phi\text{-input} \end{cases}$$

- independent of inputs
- consistent with the value determined from Sommer scale

 $R_0 \simeq 0.5 \mathrm{fm}$

Light meson spectrum at $\beta = 1.90$

• Results at $\beta = 1.90$

 $m_{K^*} = 884.4(3.0) \text{ MeV}$ (K-input) $m_{\phi} = 998.5(5.9) \text{ MeV}$ (K-input) $m_K = 519.4(6.2) \text{ MeV}$ (ϕ -input) $m_{K^*} = 895.1(0.3) \text{ MeV}$ (ϕ -input)

At a ~ 0.1 fm

We observe that meson spectrum in Nf=2+1 are closer to experiment than that in Nf=2 and Nf=0 at $a \sim 0.1$ fm.

Operation of the second strange effect or non-perturbative C_{SW} effect

At this stage we cannot give a conclusion to this question.

Light quark masses at $\beta = 1.90$

VWI quark mass

$$m_q = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{K} - \frac{1}{K_c} \right)$$

VWI ud quark mass has negative value.

 due to the chiral symmetry breaking of the Wilson quark

• define
$$K_{c,L}(K_s)$$

 $\widehat{\mathbf{m}}$
 $m_{PS,LL}(K_{c,L},K_s) = 0$

re-define

$$m_q^{VWI} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{K} - \frac{1}{K_{c,L}} \right) > 0$$

• AWI quark mass (We use this definition.) $m_q = \frac{\langle \Delta_4 A_4(t) P(0) \rangle}{2 \langle P(t) P(0) \rangle}$

no such problem as in the VWI quark mass
The scaling violation is small in N_f = 2 case.

renormalization

MF-improved 1-loop matching with MS at $\mu = a^{-1}$ 4-loop running to $\mu = 2 \ GeV$

Results

Quark masses in Nf=2+1 are slightly smaller than those in Nf=2 and Nf=0 at a ~ 0.1 fm.

• MS scheme ($\mu = 2GeV$) at $\beta = 1.90$

$$\begin{cases} m_{ud} = 3.05(6) \text{ MeV} \\ m_s = 80.4(1.9) \text{ MeV} \\ \text{(K-input)} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} m_{ud} = 3.04(6) \text{ MeV} \\ m_s = 89.3(2.9) \text{MeV} \\ (\phi\text{-input}) \end{cases}$$

Scaling study (preliminary results)

Continuum extrapolation

- ^D 2 point extrapolation (β =1.90 + β =1.83)
- function : $m(a) = A + Ba^2$

Light meson spectrum

 In the continuum, meson spectrum is consistent with experiment.

• Scaling violation is $\mathcal{O}(a^2)$.

Quark masses

- AWI and VWI quark mass are consistent each other in the continuum limit.
- [□] K-input and φ-input also give consistent results.

ud quark mass in the original VWI definition has positive value and consistent with AWI quark mass in the continuum limit.

 But the error in the continuum limit is very large at this stage.

Comparison with Nf=2, 0

- Meson spectrum in Nf=2+1 seems closer to experiment than that in Nf=2.
- Quark masses in Nf=2+1 are almost same as in Nf=2.
- But these statement are unclear, because the error in the continuum limit is large at this stage.

Summary

Nf=2+1 project

- RG-improved gauge action
 - + non-perturbatively $\mathcal{O}(a)$ improved Wilson quark action
- Exact Nf=2+1 algorithm (PHMC)
- Production @ β=1.90 has been already finished. Production @ β=1.83 has been almost finished. Production @ β=2.05 is on-going.

Analysis of meson spectrum and quark masses

- $\square @ \beta = 1.90$ the analysis has been finished.
 - **@** β =1.83 preliminary results are obtained.
- Encouranging results in the continuum limit are obtained.
 However a large error remains.
- β = 2.05 results are quite desired !!