Shuzenji, Izu 24 Sept. '04

Parity-broken phase: a new perspective on the past experience

Akira Ukawa Center for Computational Sciences University of Tsukuba

- 1. What this talk is about
- 2. Sharpe-Singleton analysis
- *3. Old studies since early-middle 90's*
- 4. 2d Gross-Neveu example
- **5**. Possible scenarios
- *6. At the end....*

What this talk is about

One day in July at the Physics Faculty Meeting, I sat next to Sinya Aoki. He was reading a hep-lat article,

"Twisted mass quarks and the phase structure of lattice QCD"

F. Farchioni et al, hep-lat/0406039

- I remembered that Karl Jansen told me at the reception at the Lattice 2004 Meeting that they had found a 1st order phase transition in their study of twised mass QCD.
- In fact, Frezotti reported it and showed a metastability graph in his plenary talk, of which I was an audience.
- I was slow (too much administrative work, perhaps....) and it took me some time before I realized that all this bears on something that Sinya and I, and many others, had worked on in early to middle 90's.
- Trying to understand the transition, we also realized that Junichi Noaki, Taku Izubuchi and I had encountered a 2-dim analog in 1998.
- We realized further on that the suggestion of Farchioni et al may shed light on a puzzling 1st order transition MILC encountered in 1994, which was much talked about at the time.
- It may also be relevant to the 1st order transition JLQCD encountered in Nf=3 QCD in 2001. Shoji Hashimoto

I will try to tell you about the "old" studies in the light of "modern" analyses.

F. Farchioni et al, hep-lat/0406039

- 1st order transition in Nf=2 QCD
 - Plaquette + naïve Wilson quark
 - Beta=5.2, K=0.17150 on 12^3x24 (for mu=0)
 - m_pi is non-zero at the transition
- Their interpretation and suggestion
 - c_2<0 in the language of Sharpe-Singleton analysis of the Aoki phase
 - Will continue to the continuum limit



Κ

Sharpe-Singleton analysis of Aoki Phase

Nf=2

Sharpe and Singleton, Hep-lat/9804028 PRD 58,074501('98)

- Chiral lagrangian for Wilson $L = \frac{f^2}{4} Tr(\partial_{\mu} \Sigma \partial_{\mu} \Sigma^+) \frac{c_1}{4} Tr(\Sigma + \Sigma^+) + \frac{c_2}{16} (Tr(\Sigma + \Sigma^+))^2$ flavors
- If c_2>0, there is a region of parity-broken phase sandwiched by symmetric phase
- If c_2<0, there is no parity broken phase, but a 1st order transition



 $L = \frac{f^2}{4} Tr\left(\partial_{\mu} \Sigma \partial_{\mu} \Sigma^+\right) - \frac{c_1}{4} Tr\left(\Sigma + \Sigma^+\right) + \frac{c_2}{16} \left(Tr\left(\Sigma + \Sigma^+\right)\right)^2$ + $\frac{c_3}{16} (Tr(\Sigma - \Sigma^+))^2 + \frac{c_4}{16} Tr((\Sigma + \Sigma^+)^2)$

Extension to Nf=3 straightforward, but conclusions far less definite because 4 couplings are allowed

An old work from 1995-1996

Aoki-Ukawa-Umemura, hep-lat/9508008 PRL 76 ('96) 873 Aoki-Kaneda-Ukawa-Umemura, hep-lat/9612010 Nucl.Phys.(Proc. Suppl.) 53('96)438

- The phase diagram on the (beta,K) plane for finite N_t
 - Gross-Neveu example
 - Existence of the Aoki phase at strong coupling
 beta=3.5, K=0.225-0.245
 - Pion mass measurement with "twisted mass"
- Dependence on N_t
 - The "tip" moves very slowly with N_t

2d Gross-Neveu model



xN_t lattice , N_t=2,4, 8,16, from inside to outside

Phase daigram for Nf=2 QCD



Evidence for parity-broken phase at beta=3.5 (I)

 $\left\langle \overline{\psi}i\gamma_5\tau_3\psi \right\rangle \quad 8^3 \times 4 \quad H \neq 0$



Evidence for parity-broken phase at beta=3.5 (II)





Dependence on N_t



The "tip" is supposed to move to $g^2=0$ as N_t goes to infinity.

In practice, the tip moved very little.

At the time, we thought that we simply had to take a large N_t for the tip to go into the scaling region, say beta>5.5.....

MILC finding in 1994

Blum et al PRD 50 3377 ('94)

- Mostly 12^3x6 runs for finite-temperature study
- Metastability at
 - Beta=4.8, K=0.19
 - Beta=5.01, K=0.18 (Farchioni et al)
 - Beta=5.22, K=0.17 cf beta=5.2, K=0.1715
- Jump in plaquette bulk transition suggested
- QCDPAX Collaboration (Iwasaki et al) suggested that this is a lattice artifact due to wiggling of K_c



Putting things together.....

Perhaps, the tip simply stops moving and turns into a line of 1st order transition at some vakue of beta near 4.0....



Other studies

- □ K.Bitar, hep-lat/9602027
 - $\langle \overline{\psi}i\gamma_5\tau_3\psi\rangle$ in the presence of ext. field h
 - $L^{4}, L=6, 8, 10,$
 - Consistent with zero at
 - Beta=5.0, K=0.15, 0.1810, 0.1820, 0.1850, 0.1875
 - Beta=5.5, K=0.1300,0.1350,0.1425,0.1500,0.1550,0.1610,0.1620,0.1650
 - Beta=8.0, K=0.1200,0.1300,0.1400,0.1460,0.1500,0.1550,0.1600,0.1800
- Ilgenfritz et al hep-lat/0309057
 - Similar study
 - L=4,6,8,10
 - (Beta,K)=(4.0,0.22),(4.3,0.21) non-zero value at h->0
 - (Beta,K)=(4.6,0.1981),(5.0,0.18)

consistent with zero at h->0



An interlude: 2D Gross-Neveu model in the large N limit

Noaki-Izubuchi-Ukawa, PRD

$$L = \overline{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \cdot \left(\nabla_{\mu} + \nabla_{-\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{-\mu} \right) \psi + \frac{g_{\sigma}}{N} (\overline{\psi} \psi)^{2} + \frac{g_{\pi}}{N} (\overline{\psi} i \gamma_{5} \psi)^{2}$$

- Need two couplings to restore chiral symmetry in the continuum limit
- Solvable in the large N limit, but a rather complicated phase diagram



comments

$$O(a\sigma^{3})$$

$$V(\sigma) = -\left(\frac{\delta m}{g_{\sigma}} + 2C_{1}\right)\sigma + \left(\frac{1}{2g_{\pi}} - C_{0}\right)\pi^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2g_{\sigma}} - C_{0} + 2C_{2}\right)\pi^{2} + \frac{1}{4\pi}(\sigma^{2} + \pi^{2})\ln\frac{\sigma^{2} + \pi^{2}}{e} - \frac{8}{3}C_{3}\sigma^{3} + 2\left[C_{1} - \frac{1}{8\pi}\ln(\sigma^{2} + \pi^{2})\right]\sigma(\sigma^{2} + \pi^{2}) + \cdots$$

- Origin of 1st order transition: negative O(a) cubic term in the effective potetial
- Tuning of couplings necessary, but a chirally symmetric continuum limit constructible:

$$T:\begin{cases} \frac{\delta m}{g_{\sigma}} = 2C_1 + O(a^2)\\ \frac{1}{2g_{\pi}} = \frac{1}{2g_{\sigma}} + 2C_2 + O(a) \end{cases}$$

Continuum extrapolation







At the end:

Quite a surprise

- If 1st order transtition is there and continues toward weak coupling,
 - No problem of principle, but
 - Quite a nuisance (I think) for phenomenology