



Metadata working group report

CMM's ideas for where we go next



QCDml status

- Ensemble 1.4.4
 - No change
- Config 1.3.0
 - No change
- No updates required by community
- This is a *Good Thing!*
 - QCDml is stable and does it's job!
- What have the MDWG been doing for the past six months?
 - Resting





Propagator markup

- MDWG has had discussions on propagator format and description
- No appetite in the community for standard data format nor description
- USQCD has four formats to suit their needs
 - how many would everyone need?
 - Not everyone convinced there is sufficient storage
 - CMM doesn't think this is an issue
- Propagator metadata would be very difficult as everyone has a different favourite source
 - Possible interest in eigen values and vector and multigrid restriction/prolongation vectors





Review of QCdml

- Why do we need metadata?
- Extreme example: **no metadata**
 - Cfgs have **random string names** with no directory structure for **different ensembles**
 - **Impossible** to use
- Organise files
 - Into directories for ensembles
 - **Give cfgs names with markov chain position**
- Construct a scheme for the metadata
 - Rules for describing the data
 - Chose to construct scheme in XML



Why use XML?

- Semantic, eXtensible Markup language
- *XML was designed to carry data, not to display data*
 - Cf. with HTML, designed for displaying data.
 - **Incompatible** applications can exchange data wrapped in xml
- XML is just plain text
- User defined tags allow structure to be developed
 - Lattice QCD metadata is structured
- *XML does not DO anything*
 - You need an application for this
- XML schema
 - Defines a set of rules for the XML document
 - Applications can know types, parse and processes XML data
 - Could just be an XSLT style sheet to transform XML in HTML and render a web page e.g. LDG web-client



Problems with XML

- Lattice QCD (meta)data is really mathematics
- XML is not really ideal for storing this data
- For **ensembles of gauge configurations** can define common names for `<action/>` etc
 - Even `WilsonAction` has more than one common usage
 - **Kappa versus mass**
- Algorithm metadata is too complex for common names
 - Not really defined in the metadata
 - Unstructured parameter values included
- This is OK because an **ensemble** is defined by the **action** not the **algorithm** used to generate it
- Extending to **propagators** and **correlators** is hard for the same reason as defining the **algorithm**



We need an application

- *XML does not DO anything*
- For it to be useful we need to do something with it!
 - **What** do we want to do with it?
 - Is QCDml good for this purpose
 - QCDml design focused on searching the metadata catalogue
 - This was probably a good idea!
- **Xpath** used to query XML databases
 - Basic tools/APIs exist for constructing queries
 - Cf. UKQCD DiGS GUI browser, LDG web-client and JLDG *faceted navigation* application
- Metadata capture
 - How do we create XML IDs?
 - Does any application actually write QCDml?
 - UKQCD does post-processing
- Data provenance
 - Does QCDml provide this?





What next?

- QCDml seems to work OK
 - How much is it being used?
- We don't have many applications that DO something with it
- CMM's Questions for MDWG
 - What do we want to do with metadata?
 - Do we have the right sort of metadata for this?
 - What tools or applications do we need?
 - Someone then has to build them
 - if we don't ask, we don't get!
- Can we review QCDml usage to define what tools we need?

